1	Lance J. Hendron	
	Nevada State Bar No. 11151	
$2 \mid$	HENDRON LAW GROUP, LLC	
3	625 S. Eighth Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89101	
5	Office: (702) 710-5555	
$_4$	Fax: (702) 387-0034	
	Email: lance@hlg.vegas	
5		
$_{6}$	Local Counsel	
0	Brandon Sample	
$_7$	Vermont Bar No. 5573	
	Brandon Sample PLC	
8	P.O. Box 250	
	Rutland, Vermont 05702	
9	Phone: (802) 444-4357 Email: brandon@brandonsample.com	
10	Counsel Pro Hac Vice	
	For Jan Rouven Fuechtener	
11		
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
12		
13		
1.4	Lily, et al ,	Case No. 2:19-cv-00352-RFB-EJY
$14 \mid$	Plaintiffs,	
15	Tiamoms,	
	v.	MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
16		
17	Jan Rouven Fuechtener,	
17	Defendant.	
18		
	Defendant Jan Rouven Fuechtener ("Fuechtener"), by and through the	
19	and an airm of course I means of fully means around to End D. Cir. D. 50 for common	
20	undersigned counsel, respectfully moves pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 56 for summary	
_	judgment against all the Plaintiffs on all their claims in this matter.	
21		
22		
23		
	Motion for Summary Judgment	
		Page 1 of 5

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

Plaintiffs collectively seek \$1,050,000 from defendant Fuechtener based on his alleged possession of child pornography that allegedly contained some images of each of them. See ECF 1 (Complaint). The Court should dismiss the Plaintiffs' claims, with prejudice, because, assuming arguendo they are the same "victims" in Fuechtener's criminal, case, the Plaintiffs—as represented by the United States of America—agreed not to pursue civil claims against Fuechtener if they received criminal restitution under Fuechtener's plea agreement. As a result of the Plaintiffs' decision to be bound by the criminal restitution order, the Plaintiffs are now barred from bringing this suit under the doctrine of collateral estoppel.

STANDARD OF REVIEW

Summary judgment is appropriate when "there is no genuine dispute as to any material fact." Fed. R. Civ. Proc. 56(a); Anderson v. Liberty Lobby, Inc.,477 U.S. 242, 248, 106 S.Ct. 2505, 91 L.Ed.2d 202 (1986). Parapluie, Inc. v. Mills,555 Fed.Appx. 679, 680 (9thCir. 2014). Once the movant has met his initial burden of showing "an absence of evidence" supporting the nonmoving party's case, the burden is on the nonmoving party to show with "specific facts" that there is a genuine issue of fact suitable for trial. CelotexCorp. v. Catrett, 477 U.S. 317, 325, 106 S.Ct. 2548, 91 L.Ed.2d 265 (1986); Anderson,477 U.S. at 250, 106 S.Ct. 2505; Parapluie, Inc., 555 Fed. Appx. at 680.

STATEMENT OF MATERIAL FACTS NOT IN DISPUTE

On October 9, 2018, Assistant U.S. Attorney Elham Roohani told Judge Gloria Navarro in *United States v. Fuechtener*, 2:16-cr-100-GMN-CWH (D. Nev.) that:

And I'll just make clear, your Honor, because perhaps he doesn't understand this, is *if they don't get the money from this plea agreement*, they have indicated to me that they will come after him civilly.

See ECF 374 at 28 in Fuechtener, 2:16-cr-100-GMN-CWH (emphasis added).

Fuechtener asks the Court to take judicial notice that AUSA Roohani stated the above to Judge Navarro on October 9, 2018.

Assuming *arguendo* the Plaintiffs are the same victims in Fuechtener's criminal case, "Defendant has in fact paid his restitution obligation to Plaintiffs.

See Judgment United States v. Fuechtener, DCNV Case 2:16-cr-00100-GMN-CWH, Doc. 345, page 9, attached as Ex. 2, Hepburn Dec., ¶ 6 Hepburn Dec., and Declaration of James R. Marsh at ¶4." See, Plaintiff's MSJ at 4.

ARGUMENT

Privity for collateral estoppel purposes exists when, among other things, "the nonparty agreed to be bound by the litigation of others." *Griswold v. County of Hillsborough*, 598 F.3d 1289, 1292 (11th Cir. 2010), *citing Taylor v. Sturgell*, 553 U.S. 880, 893-95 (2008). In light of AUSA Roohani's statement that the Plaintiffs, assuming *arguendo* they are the victims, would only pursue Fuechtener civilly if the restitution contemplated by Fuechtener's plea agreement was not paid, the instant suit is barred. Because the Plaintiffs agreed to be bound by the award of restitution

 2

1 in Fuechtener's criminal case, their current civil claims are barred by collateral 2estoppel. 3 CONCLUSION The Plaintiffs' claims are barred by collateral estoppel. Fuechtener should be 4 5 granted summary judgment on all claims by the Plaintiffs. 6 7 Respectfully submitted, 8 /s/ Lance J. Hendron Lance J. Hendron Nevada State Bar No. 11151 9 HENDRON LAW GROUP, LLC 10 625 S. Eighth Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 11 Office: (702) 710-5555 Fax: (702) 387-0034 12 Email: lance@hlg.vegas 13 Local Counsel for the Jan Rouven Fuechtener 14 15 /s/ Brandon Sample Brandon Sample **Brandon Sample PLC** 16 P.O. Box 250 17 Rutland, Vermont 05702 Phone: (802) 444-4357 18 Email: brandon@brandonsample.com Vermont Bar No. 5573 19 https://brandonsample.com 20 Counsel for Jan Rouven Fuechtener 21 2223

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was served this 19th day of November 2020, via CM/ECF on all counsel of record.

/s/ Brandon Sample
Brandon Sample